

LLG Performance Assessment

LLG Name: Kibale Subcounty

Pallisa District

(Vote Code: 919)

Assessment

Scores

LLG Performance Assessment

39%

No.	Summary of requirements	Definition of compliance	Compliance justification	Score
A. Funct	ionality of Parish A	Administrative Structures		
1	The LLG has ensured that there are functional PDCs/WDCs in all their respective Parishes/Wards Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG has duly constituted PDCs/WDCs with composition in accordance with the PDM Guidelines, and that PDCs are fully functional as evidenced by mobilization of beneficiaries within a parish/ward, appraisal of all proposals submitted for the revolving funds during the previous FY for all parishes, score 2, else score 0.	1 PDC formed with 7 members (chairperson, secretary, women representative, youth representative, PWD representative, NRM chairperson and opinion leader) as per PDM guidelines. PDC minutes on file date 19/5/2022,22/6/2022,29/6/2022 with attendances attached. Report on priority enterprise dated 26/4/2022. Sensitization report on file dated 21/2/2022	2
2	LLG has ensured that all Parish Chiefs/Town Agents have collected, compiled, and analyzed data on Parish/community profiling as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines. Maximum score is 2	Evidence that all the Parishes/Wards in a LLG have compiled, updated, and analyzed data on community profiling disaggregated by village, gender, age, economic activity among others as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines, score 2 else score 0.	Data on file collected and analyzed as per report dated 14/4/2022.	2

strategies for the development of the parish

iii. Priority enterprises that can be implemented in the parish score 2 or else 0

Maximum score is 6

B. Planning and Budgeting

4 No development plan seen The LLG Evidence that prioritized conducted Annual investments in the LLG Planning and council approved Annual Work plan and Budget Budgeting exercise for the (AWPB) for the current FY: current FY as per i. Is consistent with the the Planning and LLG approved Budgeting development plan III; score Guidelines 1 or else 0 Maximum score is 6 4 No evidence was provided The LLG Evidence that prioritized conducted Annual investments in the LLG Planning and council approved Annual Budgeting Work plan and Budget exercise for the (AWPB) for the current current FY as per FY:

0

0

0

current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting ii. Incorporates ranked priorities from all its respective parish Maximum score is 6 duly signed by the Parish Chief and PDC

Chairperson score 1 or else 0.

The LLG Evidence that prioritized conducted Annual investments in the LLG
Planning and council approved Annual
Budgeting Work plan and Budget
exercise for the (AWPB) for the current current FY as per

the Planning and
Budgeting
Guidelines

Maximum score is else 0

6

4 supply of fish fingerlings 4 1 The LLG iv. That the LLG budget million supply of desks 4.5 conducted Annual include investments to be million maintenance of ochuli-Planning and financed by the LLG score okuruse road Budgeting 1 or else 0 exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines Maximum score is 6 No evidence provided 4 0 The LLG v. Evidence that the LLG conducted Annual developed project profiles for all capital investments Planning and in the AWP and Budget as Budgeting per format in NDP III Score exercise for the current FY as per 1 or else score 0 the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines Maximum score is 6 4 No submission was made 0 vi. That the LLG budget The LLG conducted Annual was submitted to the Planning and District/Municipality/City Budgeting before 15th May: score 1 exercise for the or else 0 current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines

Maximum score is

5	Procurement planning for the current FY: submission of request for procurement Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG prepared and submitted inputs into the procurement plan for all the procurements to be done in a LLG for the current FY) to the CAO/TC by the 30th April of the previous FY, Score 2 or else score 0	Procurement requistions were prepared and submitted but late. Submission was on 27/5/2022.	0
6	Compliance of the LLG budget to DDEG investment	investments in the	Supply of fish fingerlings supply of desks to schools	2
	menu for the current FY	approved LLG Budget for the current FY comply with the investment menu in the	maintenance of a road (ochuli-	
	Maximum score is	DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines,	Okuruse)	
	2	score 2 or else score 0	These priorities are within the eligible areas as per the guidelines	
C. Own	Source Revenue M	obilization and Administrat	ion	
7	LLG collected local revenue as	Evidence that the LLG collected OSR for the	Budgeted for shs: 1,500,000	0
	per budget	previous FY within +/- 10% of the budget score 1 or else score 0.	Actual realised shs:2,000,000	
	(Budget realization)		2,000,000/1,500,000*100 = 133%	
	Maximum score is 1		133% - 100% = 33% (This is over +10)	
8	Increase in LLG	Evidence that the OSR	2020/2021 = 1,200,000	1
	own source revenues from	collected increased from previous FY but one to	2021/2022 = 2,000,000	
	last financial year but one to last	r previous FY by more than 5 %, score 1 or else score 66.6%	800,000/1,200,000*100 =	
	financial year.	0	66.6%	

9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG: i. Has remitted OSR to the administrative units, score 1 or else score 0.	No evidence of remittance.	0
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG: ii. Did not use more than 20% of the OSR on councilors allowances in the previous FY (unless authority was granted by the Minister), score 1, else score 0	20% of 1,200,000 = 240,000 Council expenditure for 2021/2022 = xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx	0
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG: iii. Have budgeted and used OSR funds on operational and maintenance in previous FY, score 1, else score 0	No evidence of O and M using OSR	0
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG: iv. Publicised the OSR and how it was used for the previous FY, score 1, else score 0.	No evidence was seen.	0

D. Financial Management

The LLG submitted annual financial previous FY on time

Evidence that the LLG submitted its Annual Financial Statement to the statements for the Auditor General (AG) on time (i.e., by August 31), score 4 or else score 0

Maximum score is 4

11

The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the score 1 or else 0 prescribed format

Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG including finances Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:

i. Q1 by 15th October

Maximum score is 6

11

The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the score 1 or else 0 prescribed format

Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG including finances Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:

ii. Q2 by 15th January

Maximum score is 6

No submission made.

0

0

No submission was made.

0

The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format

Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer

including on the funding for the PDM on time:

iii. Q3 by 15th April score 1

or else 0

Maximum score is

6

No submission was made

The LLG has submitted all 4 and physical progress reports for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the or else 0

Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly quarterly financial financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG including finances Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:

iv. Q4 by 30th July score 3

Maximum score is

prescribed format

E. Human Resources Management for Improved Service Delivery

12

Appraisal of all the previous FY

6

Evidence that the staff in the LLG:

Maximum score is (i) All staff in the LLG including extension

workers in the previous FY (by 30th June): score 2 or

else 0

Appraisals and personal files staff in the LLG in SAS/Town Clerk appraised for Parish chiefs were reported to have been taken by IGG for verification.

0

12				2
12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:	Headteachers performance reports on file .Headteacher Omatakojo ps Imesa Beatrice and Headteachers Agurur II	2
	Maximum score is 6	(ii) Primary School Head teachers in public primary schools in the previous school calendar year (by 31st December) – score 2 or else 0	Oluk John.	
12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:	The sub-county has no health facility	2
	Maximum score is 6	(iii) HC III & II In-charges in the previous FY (by June 30th) – score 2 or else		
13	Staff duty attendance	Evidence that the LLG has (i) Publicized the list of	No staff list pinned (the sub- county has no notice board)	0
		LLG staff: score 3 or else 0	Staff list not available	
	6		No staff performance report	
			Attendance register in place and being used.	
13	Staff duty attendance	Evidence that the LLG has	No staff monthly analysis report.	0
	Maximum score is 6	(ii) Produced monthly analysis of staff attendance with recommendations to CAO/TC score 3 or else 0		

F. Implementation and Execution

14	The LLG has spent all the DDEG funds for the previous FY on eligible projects/activities Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG budgeted and spent all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects/ activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines: Score 2, or else score 0	Projects implemented 3 stance pit-latrine at	2
			Omatakojo ps. opening of asiire-kaara itaok road	
			Supply of tree seedlings	
			opening omatakojo - odeperio road	
			opening of otai - omatakojo road	
			Skilling of groups.	
15	The LLG spent the funds as per budget	Evidence that the execution of budget in the previous FY does not deviate for any of the	Evidence not provided	0
	Maximum score is 2	sectors/main programs by more than +/-10%: Score 2		
16				3
10	Completion of investments as	Evidence that the investment projects	Projects implemented	3
	per annual work plan and budget	planned in the previous FY	3 stance pit-latrine at Omatakojo ps complete 13,500,000	ok
	Maximum score is 3	(quarter four):	opening of asiire-kaara itaok road 8,000,000	
		If more than 90 % was completed: Score 3	Supply of tree seedlings	
		If 70% -90%: Score 2 900,000		
		If less than 70 %: Score 0.	opening omatakojo - odeperio road 6,600,000	
			opening of otai - omatakojo road 6,100,000	
			Skilling of groups 8,000,000	
			Fish fingerlings 3,500,000	
			All fully paid as planned	

G. Environmental and Social Safeguards

The LLG has implemented environmental and social the previous FY

17

safeguards during prior to implementation of all planned investments/ projects, score 2 or else Maximum score is score 0

Evidence that the LLG

carried out environmental.

social and climate change

screening where required,

Environmental and social safeguards sreening forms for the following projects;

maintenance Agurur - Pallisa Community Access Road

maintenance of Agurur - Kibale community access road

opening of Ochuli-Okuruse community access road

Construction of 2 stance pitlatrine at the sub-county headquarters

Construction of 2 stance pitlatrine at omatakojo primary school.

18

2

The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System

2

(i) If the LLG has specified a system for recording, investigating and responding to grievances, which includes a Maximum score is designated a person to coordinate response to feed-back, complaints log book with clear information and reference for onward action, a defined complaints referral path,

> and public display of information at LLG offices

score 1 or else 0

No evidence provided

0

18 The LLG has an

Operational Grievance Handling System

2

(ii) If the LLG has publicized the grievance redress mechanisms so that aggrieved parties Maximum score is know where to report and get redress score 1 or else 0

No evidence provided.

The LLG has a functional land management system

If the LLG has a functional Area Land committee in place to assist the LG Land board in an advisory

Appointments of the members were available but no minutes of the committee.

No evidence was provided

0

0

Maximum score 1

capacity on matters relating to land, including ascertaining rights on the land score 1 or else 0

H. Basic (Pre & Primary) Education services Management (in public and private schools)

20

21

Awareness campaigns and mobilization on education services

conducted in last

FY

Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and parent's mobilization for

improvement of education service delivery score 3,

else score 0

Maximum score is

3

No report was availed

0

Monitoring of basic schools

Maximum score is 4

Evidence that the LLG has service delivery in monitored schools at least once per term in the previous 3 terms and produced a list of issues requiring attention of the committee responsible for education of the LLG council in the previous FY:

> If all schools (100%) score 4

If 80 – 99% – score 2

If 60 to 79% score 1

Below 60% score 0

4

3

22	Existence and functionality of School Management Committees Maximum score is	Evidence that the LLG have functional school management committees in all schools; score 3, else score 0	SMC for on meetings as minutes dat 21/2/2022. Agurur II - N presented.
I. Primary 23	y Health Care Serv Awareness campaigns and mobilization on primary health care conducted in last FY Maximum score is 3	ices Management Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and mobilized communities for improved primary health care service delivery score 3, else score 0	No report of mobilization
24	The LLG	Evidence that LLG	The sub-co-

No report on awareness mobilization was provided.

SMC for omatakojo ps had

meetings as evidenced by

minutes dated 24/2/2022 and

Agurur II - No minutes were

monitored health least twice during

LLG monitored aspects of service delivery at health service delivery during the previous FY, score 4 or else score 0

The sub-county has no health facility, therefore no HUMC minutes and reports

Maximum score is 4

the previous FY

25

Existence and Evidence that the LLG functionality of have functional Health unit Health Unit Management Committee Management for all Health Facilities in Committee the LLG; score 3, else score 0

The sub-county has no Health facility.

Maximum score is

3

J. Water & Environment Services Management

K. Urban Planning and Management (Applicable to Town Councils and Divisions only)

Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines

(i) If the LLG has a functional Physical Planning Committee in place that: (i) is properly and fully constituted; (ii)

Maximum score 2

considers new investments/ application for development permission on time; and (iii) has submitted at least 4 sets of minutes of Physical Planning Committee to the MoLHUD Score 1 or else 0

30

Development of the Physical Development Plans as per auidelines

(i) If the LLG has detailed physical development plan(s) or/and area action plan(s) approved by the Council covering at least the percentage below Score 1 or else 0:

Maximum score 2

20% in 2022/23

30% in 2023/24

40% in 2024/25

31

the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines

Implementation of (i) If all infrastructure investments implemented by the LLG in the previous FY: (i) are consistent with the approved Physical Development Plan; and (ii) have a planning

Maximum score 3

compliance certificate issued by MoLHUD. Score 1 or else 0

the physical planning and building control measures as per quidelines

Implementation of (ii) Evidence that the LLG has named streets, numbered plots, surveyed and demarcated roads as planned (90% or more implemented) in the previous FY score 1 or

else 0

Maximum score 3

31

the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines

Implementation of (iii) Evidence that the LLG has a functional **Development Control** Team score 1 or else 0

Maximum score 3

32

The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste

(i) If the LLG has prepared status report on the implementation of the approved solid waste management plan management plan during the previous FY score 1 or

Maximum score 2 else 0

32

The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste

(ii) If the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns on the management of solid management plan waste during the previous FY score 1 or else 0

Maximum score 2

Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure

(i) If the LLG has prepared Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey report score 1 or

Maximum score is else 0

3

33

Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure

(ii) If the LLG has prepared an O&M Annual Plan which is based on the Annual Infrastructure Maximum score is inventory and condition

survey score 1 or else 0

3

33

Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure

(iii) If the LLG has spent own source revenues of not less than 20% on O&M score 1 or else 0

Maximum score is

3

2

L. Production Services Management

34

Up to date data irrigation collected. analyzed and reported

If the LLG extension staff on agriculture and have collected, analyzed and reported data on agriculture (i.e., crop, animal and fisheries) and irrigation activities including production Maximum score is statistics for key commodities, data on irrigated land, farmer applications, farm visits etc. as per formats, the reports compiled and submitted to LG

Production Office score 2

or else 0.

Statistical report on crops only on file dated 15/12/2021 (cassava ,Rice ,Maize) prepared by Bulolo Henry Assistant Agricultural Officer.

Farmer awareness and mobilization campaigns carried out through farmer field days and awareness meetings

If the LLG has carried out awareness and mobilization campaigns on all aspects of agriculture through farmer field days and awareness meetings, exchange visits, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0

Awareness report filed on maize,cassava cutting, fingerlings and piglets dated 21/9/2021

attendance not attached

Compiled by Bulolo Henry.

Maximum score is

2

36

The LLG has carried out monitoring activities on production activities for crops, animals and fisheries

Maximum score is 2

If the LLG extension staff has implemented monitoring activities on agricultural production for crops, animal and fisheries covering among others irrigation, environmental safeguards, agricultural mechanization, postharvest handling, pests and disease surveillance, equipment installations, farmers implementing knowledge from trainings, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0

Monitoring report in place dated 26/11/2021

Supervision report available dated 14/4/2021(supervision on demonstration garden on maize at Omukat tom's home in kibale parish

Farmer trainings through training farmer field schools and demonstrations organized and carried out

2

If the LLG extension staff has carried out farmer trainings on irrigated agriculture, agronomy, pests and diseases management, operation and maintenance of equipment, linkage to Maximum score is markets etc. through for example farmer field schools, demonstrations, and field training sessions, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.

Training report on agronomy and post harvest handling dated 22/10/2021 in place with attendance list attached

Training report on agronomic practices on maize dated 26/11/2021 in place with attendance lists attached

Training report on enterprize selection in place dated 12/2/2022 with pictorial evidence and attendance list

38

The LLG has provided handson extension support to farmers and farmer organizations / groups

If the LLG extension staff have provided extension support to farmers and farmer groups on crop management, aquaculture, animal husbandry. irrigation, Operation and Maintenance of equipment, postharvest Maximum score is handling, value addition, marketing etc. reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0

Field report in place dated 10/12/2021 covering weather situation, food situation, crop situation and adaptation prepared by Bulolo Henry Assistant Agricultural Officer.