

## **LLG Performance Assessment**

LLG Name: Pallisa Subcounty

Pallisa District

(Vote Code: 919)

Assessment

**Scores** 

LLG Performance Assessment

20%

No.	Summary of requirements	Definition of compliance	Compliance justification	Score
A. Funct	ionality of Parish A	dministrative Structures		
1	The LLG has ensured that there are functional PDCs/WDCs in all their respective Parishes/Wards  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG has duly constituted PDCs/WDCs with composition in accordance with the PDM Guidelines, and that PDCs are fully functional as evidenced by mobilization of beneficiaries within a parish/ward, appraisal of all	PDCs for three parishes of kaboloi,Akadot and Kagoli formed.  Kagoli has Magode David as chairperson  Kaboloi has Osako Clement as chairperson  Akadot has Amuya Vicent as chairperson  The Formed PDCs have the chairperson ,secretary,PWD representative	0
			women representative, youth representative, NRM representative and Opinion	
			Mobilization report available on file dated 7/3/2022	
			No PDCs minutes seen.	

Evidence that all the Parishes/Wards in a LLG have compiled, updated, and analyzed data on community profiling disaggregated by village, gender, age, economic activity among others as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines, score 2 else score 0.

No data was collected and analyzed.

Maximum score is 2

3

The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish

Maximum score is

Evidence that the LLG:

 i. Has mapped NGOs, CBOs & CSO operating in the LLG and involved them in raising awareness about the PDM and planning cycle: score 2, or else 0

No evidence was provided

0

0

6

3

The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish

Maximum score is 6

Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:

ii. Approved Programmes/activities to be implemented within the Parish for the current FY score 2, else score 0

No evidence was provided

Maximum score is

Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:

No evidence was provided

iii. Priority enterprises that can be implemented in the parish score 2 or else 0

# 0

# **B. Planning and Budgeting**

6

4

The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines

Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:

i. Is consistent with the LLG

approved development plan III;

No evidence was provided (No Development plan and annual workplan).

score 1 or else 0

Maximum score is

6

4

The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines

Maximum score is 6

Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:

ii. Incorporates ranked priorities from all its respective parish submissions which are duly signed by the Parish Chief and PDC Chairperson score 1 or else 0.

No evidence was provided

0

4 No budget 0 The LLG Evidence that prioritized conference report conducted Annual investments in the LLG council Planning and approved Annual Work plan and Budgeting Budget (AWPB) for the current FY: exercise for the iii. Is based on the outcomes of the current FY as per budget conference; score 1 or else 0 the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines Maximum score is 6 No annual workplan 4 0 The LLG iv. That the LLG budget include in place conducted Annual investments to be financed by the Planning and LLG score 1 or else 0 Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines Maximum score is 6 4 No project profiles 0 The LLG v. Evidence that the LLG developed were developed. conducted Annual project profiles for all capital investments in the AWP and Budget Planning and as per format in NDP III Score 1 or Budgeting exercise for the else score 0 current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines Maximum score is

Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines, score 2 or else score 0

#### C. Own Source Revenue Mobilization and Administration

current FY

2

7	LLG collected local revenue as per budget (Budget realization)  Maximum score is 1	Evidence that the LLG collected OSR for the previous FY within +/-10% of the budget score 1 or else score 0.	No submission was seen	0
8	Increase in LLG own source revenues from last financial year but one to last financial year. Maximum score 1	Evidence that the OSR collected increased from previous FY but one to previous FY by more than 5 %, score 1 or else score 0	No financial records available .	0
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY  Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG:  i. Has remitted OSR to the administrative units, score 1 or else score 0.	No evidence was provided.	0
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY  Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG:  ii. Did not use more than 20% of the OSR on councilors allowances in the previous FY (unless authority was granted by the Minister), score 1, else score 0	No financial records in place.	0

9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG:  iii. Have budgeted and used OSR funds on operational and maintenance in previous FY, score 1, else score 0	No evidence was provided	0
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG:  iv. Publicised the OSR and how it was used for the previous FY, score 1, else score 0.	No posting was done	0
D. Finan	cial Management			
10	The LLG submitted annual financial statements for the previous FY on time  Maximum score is	Evidence that the LLG submitted its Annual Financial Statement to the Auditor General (AG) on time (i.e., by August 31), score 4 or else score 0	No submission was made.	0
	4			

No submission was made.

Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:

i. Q1 by 15th October score 1 or else 0

Maximum score is 6

The LLG has

and physical

for the Parish

Development

submitted all 4

quarterly financial

progress reports

including finances

Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format

11 The LLG has

submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances

Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format

for the Parish

Maximum score is 6

Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:

ii. Q2 by 15th January score 1 or else 0

No submission was made.

0

0

The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format

Maximum score is

6

Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:

No submission was made.

iii. Q3 by 15th April score 1 or else 0

11

The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format

Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:

No submission was made.

iv. Q4 by 30th July score 3 or else 0

Maximum score is

#### E. Human Resources Management for Improved Service Delivery

12

Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY

6

Maximum score is

Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:

(i) All staff in the LLG including extension workers in the previous FY (by 30th June): score 2 or else 0 Appraisals for parish chiefs available for:

Ndegemo Harriet, Kayendeke Jesca

No appraisals for Meke Lucy Harriet were seen.

12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:  (ii) Primary School Head teachers in public primary schools in the previous school calendar year (by 31st December) – score 2 or else 0	Performance report for Kagoli headteacher available . Performance report not seen on file	0
12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:  (iii) HC III & II In-charges in the previous FY (by June 30th) – score 2 or else	No appraisal forms seen	0
13	Staff duty attendance Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG has  (i) Publicized the list of LLG staff: score 3 or else 0	Staff list posted on the wall with all the contacts  Attendance book is available.	3
13	Staff duty attendance Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG has  (ii) Produced monthly analysis of staff attendance with recommendations to CAO/TC score 3 or else 0	No monthly analysis was done.	0
•	mentation and Exec	eution		
14	The LLG has spent all the DDEG funds for the previous FY on eligible projects/activities Maximum score is	Evidence that the LLG budgeted and spent all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects/ activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines: Score 2, or else score 0	Completion of Administration Block.  Construction of a 3stance pit-latrine.  The above projects are in the eligible	2

menu.

0

The LLG spent the funds as per budget

Maximum score is 2

Evidence that the execution of Administration Block budget in the previous FY does not budget of deviate for any of the sectors/main shs:60,000,000 programs by more than +/-10%: Actual shs: Score 2

Pit-latrine Budget shs: 13,995,000 Actual shs:

The vouchers were not availed to establish the actual expenditure

16

Completion of annual work plan and budget

Evidence that the investment investments as per projects planned in the previous FY were completed as per work plan by end of FY (quarter four):

Not ascertained, the records were not available.

Maximum score is 3

If more than 90 % was completed: Score 3

If 70% -90%: Score 2

If less than 70 %: Score 0.

# G. Environmental and Social Safeguards

17

The LLG has implemented environmental and social safeguards during the previous FY

Evidence that the LLG carried out environmental, social and climate change screening where required, prior to implementation of all planned investments/ projects, score 2 or else score 0

No evidence was provided.

FY

3

No evidence was provided.

Monitoring of service delivery in basic schools

Maximum score is

Evidence that the LLG has monitored schools at least once per term in the previous 3 terms and produced a list of issues requiring attention of the committee responsible for education of the LLG council in the previous FY:

If all schools (100%) - score 4

If 80 - 99% - score 2

If 60 to 79% score 1

Below 60% score 0

22

Existence and functionality of School Management Committees

Maximum score is 3

Evidence that the LLG have functional school management committees in all schools; score 3, else score 0

Kagoli primary school ,SMC was formed with Outa John Bosco as the chairperson.

SMC minutes dated 22/3/2022 were available and discussed issues like class and subject allocation and workplan

Kaboloi primary school ,SMC was formed with Koowa Zekeri as chairperson with minutes dated 20/9/2022 .lssues discussed included feeding of learners ,development fees and learning materials among others.

## I. Primary Health Care Services Management

3

Awareness campaigns and mobilization on primary health care conducted in last FY

Maximum score is 3

Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and mobilized communities for improved primary health care service delivery score 3, else score

Report on sensitization on hygiene and sanitation of communities on infectious diseases available dated 28/9/2021 prepared by Agimo Florence.

24

The LLG monitored health service delivery at least twice during the previous FY Evidence that LLG monitored aspects of health service delivery during the previous FY, score 4 or else score 0

No evidence of a report

Existence and functionality of Health Unit Management Committee

Maximum score is 3

Evidence that the LLG have functional Health unit Management Committee for all Health Facilities in the LLG; score 3, else score 0

Health Unit
Management
Committee for
Kaboloi HCIII was in
place with Esuguret
Richard as
chairperson and
Achom Agnes as
secretary.

HUMC minutes are available dated 16/9/2021 with action plan indicating inadequate staffing and accommodation.

HUMC minutes dated 7/12/2021 available and fully endorsed highlighting lack of medical waste incinerator and borehole for the facility. All the issues to be followed up with the District.

## J. Water & Environment Services Management

26

Evidence that the LLGs submitted requests to the DWO for consideration in the current FY budgets

Maximum score is 3

Evidence that the SAS submitted in writing requests to the DWO for consideration in the planning of the current FY score 3, else score 0

No evidence was provided.

# K. Urban Planning and Management (Applicable to Town Councils and Divisions only)

30

Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines (i) If the LLG has a functional Physical Planning Committee in place that: (i) is properly and fully constituted; (ii) considers new investments/ application for development permission on time:

Maximum score 2

constituted; (ii) considers new investments/ application for development permission on time; and (iii) has submitted at least 4 sets of minutes of Physical Planning Committee to the MoLHUD Score 1 or else 0

Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines (i) If the LLG has detailed physical development plan(s) or/and area action plan(s) approved by the Council covering at least the percentage below Score 1 or else 0:

Maximum score 2

20% in 2022/23

30% in 2023/24

40% in 2024/25

31

Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines (i) If all infrastructure investments implemented by the LLG in the previous FY: (i) are consistent with the approved Physical Development Plan; and (ii) have a planning compliance certificate issued by MoLHUD. Score 1 or else 0

Maximum score 3

31

Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines (ii) Evidence that the LLG has named streets, numbered plots, surveyed and demarcated roads as planned (90% or more implemented) in the previous FY score 1 or else 0

Maximum score 3

31

Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines (iii) Evidence that the LLG has a functional Development Control Team score 1 or else 0

Maximum score 3

The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan

(i) If the LLG has prepared status report on the implementation of the approved solid waste management plan during the previous FY score 1 or else 0

Maximum score 2

32

The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan

(ii) If the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns on the management of solid waste during the previous FY score 1 or else 0

Maximum score 2

33

Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure

(i) If the LLG has prepared Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey report score 1 or else 0

Maximum score is

3

33

33

Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure

(ii) If the LLG has prepared an O&M Annual Plan which is based on the Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey score 1 or else 0

Maximum score is 3

Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure

(iii) If the LLG has spent own source revenues of not less than 20% on O&M score 1 or else 0

Maximum score is

agriculture and irrigation collected, analyzed and reported

Maximum score is 2

Up to date data on If the LLG extension staff have collected, analyzed and reported data on agriculture (i.e., crop, animal and fisheries) and irrigation activities including production statistics for key commodities, data on irrigated land, farmer applications, farm visits etc. as per formats, the reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.

Statistics report on file dated 30/5/2022 prepared by Mugoda Indimulodi

Report dated 20/6/2022 prepared by Pandere George.

35

and mobilization campaigns carried out through farmer field days and awareness meetings

Maximum score is 2

Farmer awareness If the LLG has carried out awareness and mobilization campaigns on all aspects of agriculture through farmer field days and awareness meetings, exchange visits, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0

Report on productivity enhancing technologies 7/4/2022 by Awayin Bosco.

Training report on application of artificial fish feeds available dated 13/12/2021.

36

The LLG has carried out monitoring activities on production activities for crops, animals and fisheries

Maximum score is 2

If the LLG extension staff has implemented monitoring activities on agricultural production for crops, animal and fisheries covering among others irrigation, environmental safeguards, agricultural mechanization, postharvest handling, pests and disease surveillance, equipment installations, farmers implementing knowledge from trainings, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0

Monitoring report on agricultural activities in the sub-county dated 10/12/2021

Farmer trainings through training farmer field schools and demonstrations organized and carried out

If the LLG extension staff has carried out farmer trainings on irrigated agriculture, agronomy, pests and diseases management, operation and maintenance of equipment, linkage to markets etc. through for example farmer field schools, demonstrations, and field training sessions, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production

Maximum score is 2

Office score 2 or else 0.

0

38 The LLG has

provided hands-on extension support to farmers and farmer organizations / groups

farmers and farmer groups on crop management, aquaculture, animal husbandry, irrigation, Operation and Maintenance of equipment, postharvest handling, value addition, marketing etc. reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office

score 2 or else 0

If the LLG extension staff have

provided extension support to

Maximum score is

No evidence was provided (e-dairy was reported to be rolled out by the ministry).