

### LLG Performance Assessment

LLG Name: Pallisa Town Council

Pallisa District

(Vote Code: 919)

Assessment

Scores

LLG Performance Assessment

49%

No.	Summary of requirements	Definition of compliance	Compliance justification	Score
A. Fu	nctionality of Paris	h Administrative Struct	ures	
1	The LLG has ensured that there are functional PDCs/WDCs in	Evidence that the LLG has duly constituted PDCs/WDCs with composition in accordance with the	5 WDCs formed for the 5 wards Hospital ward -WDC has 7 members these include chairperson,secretary ,chairperson youth,chairperson women,chairperson	2
	all their respective Parishes/Wards	PDM Guidelines, and that PDCs are fully functional as evidenced by mobilization of	disability,chairperson older persons,chairperson NRM and opinion leader.	
	Maximum score is 2	beneficiaries within a parish/ward, appraisal of all proposals submitted for the	WDC minutes available dated 27/1/2022 signed by chairperson and secretary	
		revolving funds during the previous FY for all parishes, score 2, else	Field mobilization report on file dated 31/3/2022.	
		score 0.	West ward-WDC has 7 members these include chairperson,secretary ,chairperson youth,chairperson women,chairperson disability,chairperson older persons,chairperson NRM and opinion leader.	
			WDC minutes available dated 12/5/2022.	
			Field mobilization report on file dated 20/3/2022.	
			East ward-WDC has 7 members these include chairperson,secretary ,chairperson youth,chairperson women,chairperson disability,chairperson older persons,chairperson NRM and opinion leader.	
			WDC minutes available dated 20/2/2022 and 21/2/2022.	
			Field mobilization report on file dated 20/2/2022.	
			Kagwese ward-WDC has 7 members these include chairperson,secretary	

that all Parish Chiefs/Town Agents have collected, compiled, and analyzed data on Parish/community economic activity profiling as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines.

LLG has ensured Evidence that all the Parishes/Wards in a LLG have compiled, updated, and analyzed data on community profiling disaggregated by village, gender, age, among others as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines, score 2 else score 0.

Maximum score is 2

disability.chairperson older persons, chairperson NRM and opinion leader. WDC minutes available dated

,chairperson youth,chairperson

women, chairperson

17/2/2022 signed by chairperson and secretary.

Field mobilization report on file dated 17/2/2022

Kaucho ward -WDC has 7 members these include chairperson, secretary ,chairperson youth,chairperson women, chairperson disability, chairperson older persons, chairperson NRM and opinion leader.

WDC minutes available dated 16/2/2022.

Field mobization report on file dated 21/2/2022 and 25/3/2022.

Hospital ward data collected and analyzed as per report dated 12/12/2021.

west ward data collected and analyzed as per report dated 6/12/2021.

East ward data collected and analyzed and on file.

Kagwese ward data collected as per report attached prepared by Wankayi Thomas.

Kaucho ward data collected and analyzed as per report dated 2/11/2021.

The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG: i. Has mapped NGOs, CBOs & CSO operating in the LLG and involved them in raising awareness about the PDM and planning cycle: score 2, or else 0	No mapping report was provided and evidence of participation not provided.	0
The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on: ii. Approved Programmes/activities to be implemented within the Parish for the current FY score 2, else score 0	No evidence provided.	0
The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on: iii. Priority enterprises that can be implemented in the parish score 2 or else 0	No evidence was provided.	0

# B. Planning and Budgeting

The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines	Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY: i. Is consistent with the LLG approved	Annual workplan ,budget and other documents were reported to have been take by IGG therefore consistency couldn't be established.
Maximum score is 6	development plan III; score 1 or else 0	

The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting	Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:	No evidence provided.	0
Guidelines Maximum score is 6	ii. Incorporates ranked priorities from all its respective parish submissions which are duly signed by the Parish Chief and PDC Chairperson score 1 or else 0.		

		No budget conference was conducted	0
The LLG	Evidence that	0	
conducted	prioritized investments		
Annual Planning	in the LLG council		
and Budgeting	approved Annual Work		
exercise for the	plan and Budget		
current FY as per	(AWPB) for the current		
the Planning and	FY:		
Budgeting			
Guidelines	iii. Is based on the		
	outcomes of the budget		
Maximum score	conference; score 1 or		
is 6	else 0		

Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines

The LLG conducted

iv. That the LLG budget include investments to be financed by the LLG score 1 or else 0

Maximum score is 6

4

The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting	v. Evidence that the LLG developed project profiles for all capital investments in the	No profiles developed
exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and	AWP and Budget as per format in NDP III Score 1 or else score 0	
Budgeting Guidelines		

Maximum score is 6

4

The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines	vi. That the LLG budget was submitted to the District/Municipality/City before 15th May: score 1 or else 0	No submission was made.	0
Maximum score			

is 6

current submis reques procure	ig for the FY: sion of t for	Evidence that the LLG prepared and submitted inputs into the procurement plan for all the procurements to be done in a LLG for the current FY) to the CAO/TC by the 30th April of the previous FY, Score 2 or else score 0	Procurement requistions prepared and submitted on 1/7/2022 and received 22/7/2022	0
the LLC to DDE investn for the	ance of G budget G nent menu current FY um score	Evidence that the investments in the approved LLG Budget for the current FY comply with the investment menu in the DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines, score 2 or else score 0	DDEG workplan indicates the following: 3-stance pit-latrine at the park 24-3 seater desks for Komolo-Akadot Renovation of slaughter slab	2

### C. Own Source Revenue Mobilization and Administration

LLG collected local revenue as per budget (Budget realization)	Evidence that the LLG collected OSR for the previous FY within +/- 10% of the budget score 1 or else score 0.	No financial records available ,reported to have been taken by IGG.	0

No financial records available ,reported

to have been taken by IGG

0

Maximum score is 1

8

7

Increase in LLG own source	Evidence that the OSR collected increased
revenues from	from previous FY but
last financial year	one to previous FY by
but one to last	more than 5 %, score 1
financial year.	or else score 0

Maximum score 1

5

9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY	Evidence that the LLG: i. Has remitted OSR to the administrative units, score 1 or else score 0.	No financial records available ,reported to have been taken by IGG	0
	Maximum score 4			
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG: ii. Did not use more than 20% of the OSR on councilors allowances in the previous FY (unless authority was granted by the Minister), score 1, else score 0	No financial records available ,reported to have been taken by IGG	0
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG: iii. Have budgeted and used OSR funds on operational and maintenance in previous FY, score 1, else score 0	No evidence.	0
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY	Evidence that the LLG: iv. Publicised the OSR and how it was used for the previous FY, score 1, else score 0.	No posting was done on the notice board.	0

Maximum score 4

### D. Financial Management

No evidence of submission was seen.

The LLG submitted annual financial statements for the previous FY on time	Evidence that the LLG submitted its Annual Financial Statement to the Auditor General (AG) on time (i.e., by August 31), score 4 or else score 0
Maximum score is 4	

### 11

The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical	Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress
progress reports	reports, for the previous
including finances	FY to the LG
for the Parish	Accounting Officer
Development	including on the funding
Model (PDM), for	for the PDM on time:
the previous FY	
on time and in	i. Q1 by 15th October
the prescribed	score 1 or else 0
format	

Maximum score is 6

# 11

The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format	Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time: ii. Q2 by 15th January score 1 or else 0	Submission letter dated 15/1/2022 on file written by the Town Clerk
Maximum score is 6		

Submission letter dated 20/9/2021 on 1 file written by the Town Clerk

# 10

The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed	Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time: iii. Q3 by 15th April score 1 or else 0	S fil
format Maximum score		

Submission letter dated 15/4/2022 on file written by the Town Clerk

is 6

Maximum score

is 6

The LLG has	Evidence that the LLG	
submitted all 4	submitted all four	•
quarterly financial	quarterly financial and	
and physical	physical progress	
progress reports	reports, for the previous	
including finances	FY to the LG	
for the Parish	Accounting Officer	
Development	including on the funding	
Model (PDM), for	for the PDM on time:	
the previous FY		
on time and in	iv. Q4 by 30th July	
the prescribed	score 3 or else 0	
format		

Submission letter dated 15/7/2022 on file written by the Town Clerk

1

3

E. Human Resources Management for Improved Service Delivery

12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG: (i) All staff in the LLG including extension workers in the previous FY (by 30th June): score 2 or else 0	Personal files for Town agents were reported to have been taken by IGG for verification No performance report for Town clerk and SATC	0
12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG: (ii) Primary School Head teachers in public primary schools in the previous school calendar year (by 31st December) – score 2 or else 0	No performance reports for headteachers seen.	0
12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG: (iii) HC III & II In- charges in the previous FY (by June 30th) – score 2 or else	No appraisal forms for in-charges seen	0
13	Staff duty attendance Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG has (i) Publicized the list of LLG staff: score 3 or else 0	Staff list for the town council posted on the notice board. attendance register in place.	3

13	Staff duty attendance Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG has (ii) Produced monthly analysis of staff attendance with recommendations to CAO/TC score 3 or else 0	Attendance analysis was done and updated.	3
<b>F. Imp</b> 14	The LLG has	Evidence that the LLG	Installation of street lights.	2
	spent all the DDEG funds for the previous FY	budgeted and spent all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible	Supply of 3 seater desks to Komolo - Akadot primary school.	
	on eligible projects/activities	projects/ activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and	Construction of a 5 stance pit-latrine.	
	Maximum score is 2	implementation guidelines: Score 2, or else score 0		
15	The LLG spent		street lights budget shs.21,000,000	2
	the funds as per budget	the previous FY does	Actual shs 19,000,000 (90.4%)	
	Maximum score	the sectors/main	Supply of desks shs 16,816,755 Actual was shs 16,744,000 (99.9%)	
	is 2	programs by more than +/-10%: Score 2	Construction of a 5 stance pit-latrine at Kalaki ps shs 22,000,000 Actual was shs 20,775,708 (90.9%)	
			On average the projects performed at 93.6%	
			100%-93.6% = - 6.4%	
			The Deviation is within.	

Completion of investments as per annual work	Evidence that the investment projects planned in the previous	street lights budget shs.21,000,000 Actual shs 19,000,000 (90.4%)
plan and budget	FY were completed as per work plan by end of	Supply of desks shs 16,816,755 Actual was shs 16,744,000 (99.9%)
Maximum score is 3	FY (quarter four) : If more than 90 % was completed: Score 3	Construction of a 5 stance pit-latrine at Kalaki ps shs 22,000,000 Actual was shs 20,775,708 (90.9%)
	If 70% -90%: Score 2	All projects performed more than 90%
	If less than 70 %: Score 0.	

# G. Environmental and Social Safeguards

else 0

17	The LLG has implemented environmental and social safeguards during the previous FY Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG carried out environmental, social and climate change screening where required, prior to implementation of all planned investments/ projects, score 2 or else score 0	Screening forms filed and signed by samuka muhammed- DNRO for; Construction of a 5 stance pit-latrine at kalaki primary school dated 15/9/2021 Supply of desks for kalaki ,osupa and odwarat primary schools 15/9/2021 Installation of street lights dated 15/9/2021
18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System Maximum score is 2	(i) If the LLG has specified a system for recording, investigating and responding to grievances, which includes a designated a person to coordinate response to feed-back, complaints log book with clear information and reference for onward action, a defined complaints referral path, and public display of information at LLG offices score 1 or	Complaints and grievances log book in place . A well defined referral path in place. Grievances issues displayed on the notice board. Minutes of grievance management committee in place dated 1/11/2021.

18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System Maximum score is 2	(ii) If the LLG has publicized the grievance redress mechanisms so that aggrieved parties know where to report and get redress score 1 or else 0	The mechanism is publicized and posted on the notice board.	1
19	The LLG has a functional land management system Maximum score 1	If the LLG has a functional Area Land committee in place to assist the LG Land board in an advisory capacity on matters relating to land, including ascertaining rights on the land score 1 or else 0	The committee is not appointed.	0

0

# H. Basic (Pre & Primary) Education services Management (in public and private schools)

20

Awareness campaigns and mobilization on education services conducted in last FY	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and parent's mobilization for improvement of education service delivery score 3, else	No evidence was provided.
Maximum score is 3	score 0	

Monitoring of service delivery in basic schools Maximum score is 4	Evidence that the LLG has monitored schools at least once per term in the previous 3 terms and produced a list of issues requiring attention of the committee responsible for education of the LLG council in the previous FY: If all schools (100%) - score 4 If 80 – 99% – score 2 If 60 to 79% score 1 Below 60% score 0	<ul> <li>Monitoring reports seen as reflected below;</li> <li>Osupa Primary school reports dated 2/6/2022,2/2/2022,22/7/2021,10/6/2022</li> <li>Kalaki primary school reports dated 2/6/2022,2/2/2022,22/7/2021,10/6/2022</li> <li>Pallisa Township primary school reports dated 16/6/2022,11/2/2022</li> <li>Odwarat Olua primary school reports dated 15/2/2022</li> <li>Nalufenya primary school reports dated 10/2/2022,10/1/2022</li> <li>Kaucho boys primary school reports dated 16/6/2022,11/2/2022</li> <li>Pallisa girls primary school 16/6/2022,11/2/2022</li> <li>Komolo Akadot 16/6/2022,11/2/2022</li> <li>No sectoral committee minutes seen</li> </ul>
Existence and functionality of School Management Committees Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG have functional school management committees in all schools; score 3, else score 0	School management committee minute in place as reflected below; Pallisa Township, minutes dated 10/2/2022,6/7/2021 Kaucho primary school,minutes dated 21/10/2021 Nalufenya primary school minutes dated 29/11/2021 Kagwese primary school minutes dated 3/2/2022 Odwarat Olua primary school minutes dated 11/6/2022 Kalaki primary school 15/1/2022 Osupa primary schoolNo minutes seen

22

### I. Primary Health Care Services Management

23	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on primary health care conducted in last FY Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and mobilized communities for improved primary health care service delivery score 3, else score 0	Report on community sensitization on malaria available dated 10/12/2021 prepared by Arikod Samuel (Health Assistant).	3
24	The LLG monitored health service delivery at least twice during the previous FY	Evidence that LLG monitored aspects of health service delivery during the previous FY , score 4 or else score 0	A report on Health service delivery available dated 13/10/2021 prepared by by the Principal Health Inspector.	4

25

Maximum score

is 4

Existence and functionality of Health Unit Management Committee	Evidence that the LLG have functional Health unit Management Committee for all Health Facilities in the LLG; score 3, else
Maximum score is 3	score 0

The HUMC is in place with chairperson as Okojjo Duma and 8 other members .Ocoroi Angella as secretary.

HUMC minutes available dated 30/6/2022 and 11/3/2022.

### J. Water & Environment Services Management

Evidence that the	Evidence that the SAS
LLGs submitted	submitted in writing
requests to the	requests to the DWO
DWO for	for consideration in the
consideration in	planning of the current
the current FY	FY score 3, else score
budgets	0
Maximum score is 3	

The LLG has monitored water and environment services delivery during the previous FY Maximum score is 3	Evidence that SAS/ATC monitored/supervised aspects of water and environment services during the previous FY including review of water points and facilities, score 3 or else score 0
Existence and functionality of Water and Sanitation	Evidence that the LLG have functional Water and Sanitation

Tutor und	and ournation
Sanitation	Committees (including
Committees	collection and proper
	use of community
Maximum score	contributions) score 2,
is 2	else score 0

Functionality of	Evidence that the SAS
investments in	has an updated lists on
water and	all its water and
sanitation	sanitation facilities
facilities	(public latrines) and
	functionality status.
Maximum score is 2	Score 2 else 0

# K. Urban Planning and Management (Applicable to Town Councils and Divisions only)

30	Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines Maximum score 2	(i) If the LLG has a functional Physical Planning Committee in place that: (i) is properly and fully constituted; (ii) considers new investments/ application for development permission on time; and (iii) has submitted at least 4 sets of minutes of Physical Planning Committee to the MoLHUD Score 1 or else 0	No evidence of membership was presented.	0
30	Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines Maximum score 2	<ul> <li>(i) If the LLG has detailed physical development plan(s) or/and area action plan(s) approved by the Council covering at least the percentage below Score 1 or else 0:</li> <li>20% in 2022/23</li> <li>30% in 2023/24</li> <li>40% in 2024/25</li> </ul>	The Towncouncil doesn't have approved physical Dvelopment Plan and action area plan.	0

Implementation of the physical planning and building control	<ul><li>(i) If all infrastructure investments implemented by the LLG in the previous FY:</li></ul>	The Registration book with application in place but the physical development plan not in place .
measures as per guidelines	(i) are consistent with the approved Physical Development Plan; and	No compliance certificate in place.
Maximum score 3	<ul><li>(ii) have a planning</li><li>compliance certificate</li><li>issued by MoLHUD.</li><li>Score 1 or else 0</li></ul>	

31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines Maximum score 3	(ii) Evidence that the LLG has named streets, numbered plots, surveyed and demarcated roads as planned (90% or more implemented) in the previous FY score 1 or else 0	Street naming ,plot numbering ,surveying and demarcating not done.	0
31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines Maximum score 3	(iii) Evidence that the LLG has a functional Development Control Team score 1 or else 0	Development control team not formed. No reports were presented.	0
32	The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan Maximum score 2	(i) If the LLG has prepared status report on the implementation of the approved solid waste management plan during the previous FY score 1 or else 0	Status report on solid waste management in place dated 20/12/2021 prepared by PHI Approved solid waste plan in place.	1
32	The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan Maximum score 2	(ii) If the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns on the management of solid waste during the previous FY score 1 or else 0	No evidence for awareness was presented.	0

33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure	(i) If the LLG has prepared Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey	Community Access Roads inventory and condition survey report prepared and produced by the Town Engineer.	1
	Maximum score is 3	report score 1 or else 0		

33

No evidence was presented.

1	٢	٩	
٩	Ŀ	4	,

Operation and	(ii) If the LLG has
Maintenance of	prepared an O&M
infrastructure	Annual Plan which is
Maximum score is 3	based on the Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey score 1 or else 0

(iii) If the LLG has

spent own source

score 1 or else 0

revenues of not less than 20% on O&M

# No evidence was presented.(Financial **0** records reported to have been taken by IGG)

# L. Production Services Management

Operation and

Maintenance of

Maximum score

infrastructure

is 3

34

analyzed and reported crop, anima fisheries) ar activities ind production is 2 key commo on irrigated farmer appl farm visits e formats, the compiled ar	ollected, nd reported iculture (i.e., al and nd irrigation cluding statistics for dities, data land, ications, etc. as per e reports nd submitted uction Office	Production statistics on file done for the following,maize,rice,cassava,G.nuts,and beans date 20/5/2022 prepared by Mugoda Indimulodi
--	--	--

Farmer	If the LLG has carried
awareness and	out awareness and
mobilization	mobilization campaigns
campaigns	on all aspects of
carried out	agriculture through
through farmer	farmer field days and
field days and	awareness meetings,
awareness	exchange visits, reports
meetings	compiled and submitted
	to LG Production Office
Maximum score	score 2 or else 0

Training report on improved animal agricultural husbandry practices filed on 29/4/2022 prepared by Ouke Benard (V.O)

and Report on maize demonstration garden lgs, filed on 20/5/2022 with pictorial evidence attached,Distribution list mitted attached and was prepared by Mugoda Office Indimulodi.

Maximum score is 2

A report on monitoring activity carried out between 22nd -23rd December 2021 in the five wards was compiled by Ouke Benard

on the following areas ,bee keeping,fish farming ,dairy heifers and piggery.

Farmer trainings through training farmer field schools and demonstrations organized and carried out Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff has carried out farmer trainings on irrigated agriculture, agronomy, pests and diseases management, operation and maintenance of equipment, linkage to markets etc. through for example farmer field schools, demonstrations, and field training sessions, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.	Training report on improved maize agronomy filed on 25/3/2022,attendances list,workplan attached.
The LLG has provided hands- on extension support to farmers and farmer organizations /	If the LLG extension staff have provided extension support to farmers and farmer groups on crop management, aquaculture, animal	E-diary is not yet rolled out however t extension staff were trained.

yet rolled out however the 0 f were trained.

### 38

The LLG has	If the LLG extension
provided hands-	staff have provided
on extension	extension support to
support to	farmers and farmer
farmers and	groups on crop
farmer	management,
organizations /	aquaculture, animal
groups	husbandry, irrigation,
	Operation and
Maximum score	Maintenance of
is 2	equipment, postharvest
	handling, value
	addition, marketing etc.
	reports compiled and
	submitted to LG
	Production Office score

2 or else 0