

LLG Performance Assessment

LLG Name: Puti-Puti Subcounty

Pallisa District

(Vote Code: 919)

Assessment

Scores

LLG Performance Assessment

46%

No. A. Funct	Summary of requirements	Definition of compliance Administrative Structures	Compliance justification	Score
1	The LLG has ensured that there are functional PDCs/WDCs in all their respective Parishes/Wards Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG has duly constituted PDCs/WDCs with composition in accordance with the PDM Guidelines, and that PDCs are fully functional as evidenced by mobilization of beneficiaries within a parish/ward, appraisal of all proposals submitted for the revolving funds during the previous FY for all parishes, score 2, else score 0.	PDCS formed and lists on file for the following parishes,Mpongi,Budaula ,PutiPuti and Nagule parish. Minutes of Mpongi parish PDC meeting held on 15th /06/2022 signed by kirenzi Hassan the secretary, Budula PDC held on 2nd /06/2022 signed by Nkolwa Dan and kamutono GW the Chairperson,putiputi parish PDC minutes held on 1/06/2022 signed by Akiding Scovia the secretary and kirya fred the chairperson,Nagule Parish PDC meeting held on 30th /06/2022 signed by Namuge Edisa the secretary and Ogeu Richard the Chairperson.	2

Sensitization report on file dated 22/2/2022 with attendance attached

All PDCs are formed as per the PDM guidelines

LLG has ensured that all Parish Chiefs/Town Agents have collected, compiled, and analyzed data on Parish/community profiling as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines.	Evidence that all the Parishes/Wards in a LLG have compiled, updated, and analyzed data on community profiling disaggregated by village, gender, age, economic activity among others as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines, score 2 else score 0.	a p a f

LLG has compiled ,updated and analysed data for the following parishes(Budaula,Mpongi,Nagule and Puti-Puti).The report is on file.

Maximum score is 2

2

3

The LLG	Evidence that the LLG:	There was evidence of mapping report of NGOs CBO and CSOs
provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of	i. Has mapped NGOs, CBOs & CSO operating in the LLG and involved them in raising awareness about the PDM and planning cycle: score 2, or else 0	report of NGOs,CBO and CSOs dated 8/4/2022 prepared by CDO
the parish		

Maximum score is 6

3

The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and	Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:
PDCs on	ii. Approved
strategies for the development of the parish	Programmes/activities to be implemented within the Parish for the current FY score 2, else score 0
Maxima a a a va	

Maximum score is 6

No evidence that the LLG has approved programmes /activities to be implemented within the parish for the current FY.

The LLG Evidence that the LLG LLG Provided guidance to the provided provided guidance and village executive committees and guidance and information to the Village to PDCS the priority enterprises information to the **Executive Committees** that can be implemented in the Village Executive and to PDCs on: parish. Committees and iii. Priority enterprises PDCs on that can be implemented strategies for the in the parish score 2 or development of else 0 the parish

Maximum score is 6

B. Planning and Budgeting

4

XXXX The LLG Evidence that prioritized conducted investments in the LLG Annual Planning council approved Annual and Budgeting Work plan and Budget exercise for the (AWPB) for the current current FY as per FY: the Planning and i. Is consistent with the Budgeting LLG approved Guidelines development plan III; score 1 or else 0 Maximum score is 6

4

The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per	Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:	No evidence seen
the Planning and		
Budgeting	ii. Incorporates ranked	
Guidelines	priorities from all its	
	respective parish	
Maximum score	submissions which are	
is 6	duly signed by the Parish	
	Chief and PDC	
	Chairperson score 1 or	
	else 0.	

0

0

There is no evidence that the

The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines	Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY: iii. Is based on the outcomes of the budget conference; score 1 or	No evidence of budget conference conducted
Maximum score is 6	else 0	

XXXXXX

0

0

iv. That the LLG budget The LLG conducted include investments to Annual Planning be financed by the LLG and Budgeting score 1 or else 0 exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines

Maximum score is 6

4

The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines	v. Evidence that the LLG developed project profiles for all capital investments in the AWP and Budget as per format in NDP III Score 1 or else score 0	XXXXXXX
Maximum scoro		

Maximum score is 6

The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines	vi. That the LLG budget was submitted to the District/Municipality/City before 15th May: score 1 or else 0	No evidence
Maximum score is 6		
Procurement planning for the current FY: submission of request for procurement Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG prepared and submitted inputs into the procurement plan for all the procurements to be done in a LLG for the current FY) to the CAO/TC by the 30th April of the previous FY, Score 2 or else score 0	NO evidence seen

Compliance of the LLG budget	Evidence that the investments in the	80% is allocated for road opening 10% is allocated for parish
to DDEG investment menu	approved LLG Budget for the current FY	planning
for the current FY	comply with the investment menu in the	10% is allocated for investment
Maximum score is 2	DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation	servicing -BOQs,Monitoring,EIA.
	Guidelines, score 2 or else score 0	All the allocations are within the eligible menu.

C. Own Source Revenue Mobilization and Administration

LLG collected local revenue as per budget (Budget realization) Maximum score is 1	Evidence that the LLG collected OSR for the previous FY within +/- 10% of the budget score 1 or else score 0.	OSR budget was shs 350,000 OSR actual realized was shs 45,000 45,000/350,000*100 = 12.8% performance	0
Increase in LLG own source revenues from last financial year but one to last financial year. Maximum score 1	Evidence that the OSR collected increased from previous FY but one to previous FY by more than 5 %, score 1 or else score 0	OSR 2020/2021 = shs 30,000 OSR 2021/2022 = shs 45,000 45,000-30,000 = 15,000 15,000/30,000*100 = 50% performance. There was increase of +5%	1
The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG: i. Has remitted OSR to the administrative units, score 1 or else score 0.	No evidence seen	0
The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG: ii. Did not use more than 20% of the OSR on councilors allowances in the previous FY (unless authority was granted by the Minister), score 1, else score 0	No evidence seen	0

The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG: iii. Have budgeted and used OSR funds on operational and maintenance in previous FY, score 1, else score 0	No evidence seen	0
The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY	Evidence that the LLG: iv. Publicised the OSR and how it was used for the previous FY, score 1, else score 0.	No evidence seen	0

Maximum score 4

D. Financial Management

10

The LLG submitted annual financial statements for the previous FY on time Maximum score	Evidence that the LLG submitted its Annual Financial Statement to the Auditor General (AG) on time (i.e., by August 31), score 4 or else score 0
is 4	

Final Accounts prepared and submitted to OAG on 31/8/2022 dully endorsed and stamped

4

No evidence seen

- The LLG has submitted all 4 and physical progress reports for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format
- Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous including finances FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:

i. Q1 by 15th October score 1 or else 0

Maximum score is 6

The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format	Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time: ii. Q2 by 15th January score 1 or else 0
Maximum score	

is 6

The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format	physical progress reports, for the previous	No evidence seen
Maximum score is 6		
The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format	Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time: iv. Q4 by 30th July score 3 or else 0	No evidence seen
Maximum score is 6		

E. Human Resources Management for Improved Service Delivery

Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk	8 staff appraisal forms staff seen and dully completed
the previous FY	appraised staff in the LLG:	Nalumansi Getrude Okot - Agricultural Officer
is 6	(i) All staff in the LLG including extension	Omoding Charles - AAHO
	workers in the previous FY (by 30th June): score	Akiding scovia - parish chief
	2 or else 0	Nkolwa Dan - parish chief
		Kirenzi hassan Juma - parish chief
		Akello Amina - SAA
		Namuge Edisa - parish chief
		Musubika Rosemary - Assistant fisheries officer
		Kantono Martha - CDO
		All dated 30/6/2022
Appraisal of all	Evidence that the	No evidence seen
staff in the LLG in the previous FY	SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:	
Maximum score is 6	 (ii) Primary School Head teachers in public primary schools in the previous school calendar year (by 31st December) – score 2 or else 0 	
Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY Maximum score	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:	No evidence seen

Maximum score is 6 (iii) HC III & II In-charges in the previous FY (by June 30th) – score 2 or else

12

12

12

0

13	Staff duty attendance	Evidence that the LLG has	LLG staff list was publized and attendance book in place	3
	Maximum score is 6	(i) Publicized the list of LLG staff: score 3 or else 0		
13	Staff duty attendance	Evidence that the LLG has	No evidence seen	0
	Maximum score is 6	(ii) Produced monthly analysis of staff attendance with recommendations to CAO/TC score 3 or else 0		
F. Imple	F. Implementation and Execution			
14	The LLG has spent all the	Evidence that the LLG budgeted and spent all	Phase2 construction administration block	2

The LLG has	Evidence that the LLG	Phase2 construction
spent all the	budgeted and spent all	administration block
DDEG funds for the previous FY	the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible	Fencing of Mpongi HCIII
on eligible projects/activities	projects/ activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation	3 stance pit-latrine at sub-county headquarters
Maximum score is 2	guidelines: Score 2, or else score 0	All the above were in eligible areas

The LLG spent the funds as per budget Maximum score is 2	execution of budget in the previous FY does not	actual expenditure 31,594,118 (90.2%%) -100% = - 9.8%
Completion of investments as per annual work plan and budget Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the investment projects planned in the previous FY were completed as per work plan by end of FY (quarter four) : If more than 90 % was completed: Score 3 If 70% -90%: Score 2	Phase 2 of administration block was at100% as per the interim payment certificate 3 stance pit-latrine at sub-county headquarters was rated at 90% as per interim payment certificate. Fencing of Mpongi HCIII was at (77.9%)
	If less than 70 %: Score 0.	On average the performance was 89.3%

G. Environmental and Social Safeguards

17	The LLG has implemented environmental and social safeguards during the previous FY Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG carried out environmental, social and climate change screening where required, prior to implementation of all planned investments/ projects, score 2 or else score 0	Environmental and social screening was done for the following projects ,tree planting ,Admin block ,pit latrine at the LLG headquarters and fencing of Mpongi HCIII	2
18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System Maximum score is 2	(i) If the LLG has specified a system for recording, investigating and responding to grievances, which includes a designated a person to coordinate response to feed-back, complaints log book with clear information and reference for onward action, a defined complaints referral path, and public display of information at LLG offices score 1 or else 0	Complaints log book in place and referal person in charge of complaints kantono martha the CDO	1
18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System Maximum score is 2	(ii) If the LLG has publicized the grievance redress mechanisms so that aggrieved parties know where to report and get redress score 1 or else 0	grievance handling path way described on the notice board.	1

The LLG has a	If the LLG has a
functional land	functional Area Land
management	committee in place to
system	assist the LG Land board
	in an advisory capacity
Maximum score 1	on matters relating to
	land, including
	ascertaining rights on the
	land score 1 or else 0

H. Basic (Pre & Primary) Education services Management (in public and private schools)

Awareness campaigns and mobilization on education services conducted in last FY	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and parent's mobilization for improvement of education service delivery score 3, else	Community sensitization report on girl child education conducted on 6/7/2021 prepared by CDO with attendance list attached.
FY	delivery score 3, else score 0	
Maximum score is 3		

Monitoring of service delivery in basic schools Maximum score is 4	Evidence that the LLG has monitored schools at least once per term in the previous 3 terms and produced a list of issues requiring attention of the committee responsible for education of the LLG council in the previous FY:	Monitoring report of Mpongi primary school on file dated 2/12/2021 compiled by Kirenzi Juma.
	If all schools (100%) - score 4	
	lf 80 – 99% – score 2	
	If 60 to 79% score 1	
	Below 60% score 0	

Existence and functionality of School Management Committees Evidence that the LLG have functional school management committees in all schools; score 3, else score 0 SMC for one school presented for mpongi primary school dated 2nd /06/2022

Maximum score is 3

I. Primary Health Care Services Management

23

Awareness campaigns and mobilization on primary health care conducted in last FY	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and mobilized communities for improved primary health	A wareness report dated 3/9/2021 issues of sanitation were discussed,avoiding communicable diseases like TB Minutes of community meeting
Maximum score is 3	care service delivery score 3, else score 0	held on 25/8/2021.

24

The LLG	Evidence that LLG	monitoring report for mpongi
monitored health	monitored aspects of	HCIII dated 7/12/2021 compiled
service delivery	health service delivery	kirenzi Hassam parish chief
at least twice	during the previous FY,	mpongi.
during the	score 4 or else score 0	
previous FY		No minutes of Subcounty
		executive committee seen.
Maximum score		

is 4

25

Evidence that the LLG Health unit management Existence and committee was established and functionality of have functional Health chaired by Nangaga John with Health Unit unit Management Namusoke shamim the secretary. Committee for all Health Management Committee Facilities in the LLG; The HUMC is functional with score 3, else score 0 evidence of minutes dated Maximum score is 3 30th/06/2022.

0

0

26	Evidence that the LLGs submitted requests to the DWO for consideration in the current FY budgets Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the SAS submitted in writing requests to the DWO for consideration in the planning of the current FY score 3, else score 0	No evidence seen
27	The LLG has monitored water and environment services delivery during the previous FY Maximum score is 3	Evidence that SAS/ATC monitored/supervised aspects of water and environment services during the previous FY including review of water points and facilities, score 3 or else score 0	only one report(dated 21st /02/2022)for mpongi parish. Report on water and sanitation available on file dated 18/5/2022 prepared by the Health Assistant.
28	Existence and functionality of Water and Sanitation Committees Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG have functional Water and Sanitation Committees (including collection and proper use of community contributions) score 2, else score 0	No evidence seen
29	Functionality of investments in water and sanitation facilities Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the SAS has an updated lists on all its water and sanitation facilities (public latrines) and functionality status. Score 2 else 0	No evidence seen

Development of	(i) If the LLG has a
the Physical	functional Physical
Development	Planning Committee in
Plans as per	place that: (i) is properly
guidelines	and fully constituted; (ii)
	considers new
Maximum score 2	investments/ application
	for development
	permission on time; and
	(iii) has submitted at
	least 4 sets of minutes of
	Physical Planning
	Committee to the
	MoLHUD Score 1 or else
	0

Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines Maximum score 2	(i) If the LLG has detailed physical development plan(s) or/and area action plan(s) approved by the Council covering at least the percentage below Score 1 or else 0:
	20% in 2022/23
	30% in 2023/24
	40% in 2024/25

Implementation of	(i) If all infrastructure
the physical	investments
planning and	implemented by the LLG
building control	in the previous FY: (i)
measures as per	are consistent with the
guidelines	approved Physical
	Development Plan; and
Maximum score 3	(ii) have a planning
	compliance certificate
	issued by MoLHUD.
	Score 1 or else 0

(ii) Evidence that the
LLG has named streets,
numbered plots,
surveyed and
demarcated roads as
planned (90% or more
implemented) in the
previous FY score 1 or
else 0

Implementation of	(iii) Evidence that the
the physical	LLG has a functional
planning and	Development Control
building control	Team score 1 or else 0
measures as per	
guidelines	

Maximum score 3

The LLG has	(i) If the LLG has
developed and	prepared status report on
implemented a	the implementation of
solid waste	the approved solid waste
management	management plan during
plan	the previous FY score 1
	or else 0
Maximum score 2	

The LLG has	(ii) If the LLG has
developed and	conducted awareness
implemented a	campaigns on the
solid waste	management of solid
management	waste during the
plan	previous FY score 1 or
	else 0
Maximum score 2	

Maximum score 2

33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure Maximum score is 3	(i) If the LLG has prepared Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey report score 1 or else 0

(ii) If the LLG has
prepared an O&M
Annual Plan which is
based on the Annual
Infrastructure inventory
and condition survey
score 1 or else 0

33

Operation and	(iii) If the LLG has spent
Maintenance of	own source revenues of
infrastructure	not less than 20% on
	O&M score 1 or else 0
Maximum score	
ie 3	

is 3

L. Production Services Management

S	Λ
J	4

Up to date data on agriculture and irrigation collected, analyzed and reported Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff have collected, analyzed and reported data on agriculture (i.e., crop, animal and fisheries) and irrigation activities including production statistics for key commodities, data on irrigated land, farmer applications, farm visits etc. as per formats, the reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2	Report on livestock data was available dated 14/5/22 compiled by Omoding charles Assistant Animal Husbandry Officer
	or else 0.	

Farmer awareness and mobilization campaigns carried out through farmer field days and awareness meetings

Maximum score is 2

If the LLG has carried out awareness and mobilization campaigns on all aspects of agriculture through farmer field days and awareness meetings, exchange visits, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0 Report on training on Animal Husbandry dated 16th /12/2021 compiled by Omoding Charles, attendance list and program attached. The report covers only one aspect of animal Hubandry and lacks crop and fisheries.

36

The LLG has carried out monitoring activities on production activities for crops, animals and fisheries

Maximum score is 2

If the LLG extension staff has implemented monitoring activities on agricultural production for crops, animal and fisheries covering among others irrigation. environmental safeguards, agricultural mechanization, postharvest handling, pests and disease surveillance, equipment installations, farmers implementing knowledge from trainings, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0

only one report evident on monitoring of Agricultural activities across the subcounty in the 2nd quarter dated 30/12/2022 compiled by Omoding Charles the Animal Husbandry Officer

Farmer trainings through training farmer field schools and demonstrations organized and carried out Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff has carried out farmer trainings on irrigated agriculture, agronomy, pests and diseases management, operation and maintenance of equipment, linkage to markets etc. through for example farmer field schools, demonstrations, and field training sessions, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.	Exchange field visit report dated 04/8/2021 compiled by Omoding Charles the Animal Husbandry Officer ,received by the LG production office on the same date.
The LLG has provided hands- on extension support to farmers and farmer organizations / groups Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff have provided extension support to farmers and farmer groups on crop management, aquaculture, animal husbandry, irrigation, Operation and Maintenance of equipment, postharvest handling, value addition, marketing etc. reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	Exchange field visit report dated 04/8/2021 compiled by Omoding Charles the Animal Husbandry Officer ,received by the LG production office on the same date.The report only covers Animal Husbandry does not cover fisheries and crop.